

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/759,619	01/16/2004	Larry J. Pacey	47079-00291USPT	8178
70243 7590 09/08/2009 NIXON PEABODY LLP		EXAMINER		
300 S. Riverside Plaza			TORIMIRO, ADETOKUNBO OLUSEGUN	
16th Floor CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAN PART	DEL HERMANDE
			MAIL DATE 09/08/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/759.619 PACEY, LARRY J. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit ADETOKUNBO O. 3714 TORIMIRO All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ADETOKUNBO O. TORIMIRO. (3)Wayne Tang. (2) John Hotaling. (4) . Date of Interview: 02 September 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 29. Identification of prior art discussed: Ainsworth and Adams. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Ainsworth reference was discussed and it was agreed that the claims as written overcomes the Ainsworth reference. It was agreed that the "modifier" limitations should be removed in order to proceed with prosecution. The Applicant's request for reconsideration will be fully considered as soon as it is received by the office. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Δ ∩ T /

/John M Hotaling II/